

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/697,602              | 10/29/2003                         | Patricia Franklin    | ATIS-01000US0       | 9619             |
| 28554<br>VIERRA MAC     | 7590 03/21/200<br>GEN MARCUS & DEN | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
|                         | STREET SUITE 2500                  | PEZZUTO, ROBERT ERIC |                     |                  |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                         |                                    |                      | 3714                |                  |
|                         |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                                    |                      | , MAIL DATE         | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                                    |                      | 03/21/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/697,602      | FRANKLIN, PATRICIA |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |
|                 |                    |  |

| before the Filling of all Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | Robert E. Pezzuto  | 3714  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   |  |   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ctice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c            | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejecti   | on.  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | 06.07(f).  |   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi                | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th   | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since       |
| AMENDMENTS   | to the transfer of filtres a best of   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>  |  |   | ecause                                     |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  |  | i L Delow),   |  |
| (c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or  |  | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |   |  |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>  |  |   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   |  |   |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | ll be entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | nt before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidav  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is               | ot be entered<br>s necessary and           |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′                         | Is to provide a I).                        |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach   | ned.                                       |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar   | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
|  | 5  | Robert E Pezzuto  |  |
|  |  | SPE, AU 3714  | _  |